



Recent Important Cases Reported under IBC

May 29, 2025

The courts view applications relating to lawyer's lapses more leniently than applications relating to litigant's lapses – CA Ramchandra Dallaram Choudhary Vs. Adani Infrastructure and Developers Pvt. Ltd. – Supreme Court

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Any grievance with regard to admission of a petition under Section 7 of the IBC must be canvassed by way of an appeal before the NCLAT and not by way of a petition under Article 136 | Once a petition under Section 7 is admitted by the NCLT, the Corporate Debtor necessarily has to be represented by the Resolution Professional, and not by its

erstwhile management – Perfect Infraengineers Ltd. Vs. Technology Development Board – Supreme Court

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Realisation of demand arising from the Assessment Orders passed by Income Tax Dept. pertaining to the pre-CIRP period during moratorium is untenable in law, and no set-off or adjustment of demands against tax refunds is permissible during moratorium- Lanco Solar Energy Pvt. Ltd. Vs. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax and Anr. – NCLT Hyderabad Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Bank Guarantees, including Performance Guarantees, can be invoked notwithstanding the moratorium under Section 14 of the IBC | Inclusion of any clause in Resolution Plan seeking release of margin money or fixed deposits by Bank to Corporate Debtor would not affect the settled legal position – Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. Vs. The Additional Commissioner of Customs and Ors. – NCLT Hyderabad Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Whether, under an acceleration clause, a single/part default allows the entire loan to become due or only the defaulted instalment qualifies as a 'default' under Section 3(12) of the IBC for meeting the threshold in Section 4? – CRC Infratech & Services LLP Vs. Fourth Generation Information Systems Ltd. – NCLT Hyderabad Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Timeline under Regulation 35A of the CIRP Regulations, 2016 is not mandatory | Elements must be established under Section 66 of IBC – Adinarayana Babji Kota Vs. Mr. Kuragayala Lakshmi pathi and Ors. – NCLT Hyderabad Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Is a CIRP petition under Section 7 of IBC maintainable on the basis of an award passed by the Lok Adalat? – Chappidi Swaminadha Rao Vs. Averx Drugs Pvt. Ltd. – NCLT Amaravati Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Can the hearing of the CIRP admission petition be adjourned until the Corporate Debtor makes the payment? – TATA Projects Ltd. Vs. Andhra Pradesh Township Infrastructure Development Corporation – NCLT Amaravati Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Assessment of provident fund dues is not prohibited after the liquidation order is passed [Sec. 33(5)] | Even in the absence of a specific request from the EPFO, Liquidator was obligated to determine the correct amount payable, including interest and damages, as mandated under the Employees' Provident Fund & Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 – The Central Board of Trustees, Employee Provident Fund Organization (EPFO) Vs. Shri Kamlesh Kumar Singhania – NCLT Hyderabad Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Does a demand notice under Section 8 of the IBC, sent to an address with a different Pincode, constitute valid service of the notice? – Anurada Chemicals Vs. Synaptics Labs Pvt. Ltd. – NCLT Hyderabad Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Whether Adjudicating Authority can condone the delay for claims received by Resolution Professional under CIRP Regulation 13(1B)? – Manjeet Bucha IRP of Trident Sugars Ltd. – NCLT Hyderabad Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

There is no scope for the Adjudicating Authority or this Appellate Authority to proceed on any equitable assumptions and presumptions

to assess the resolution plan on the basis of quantitative analysis – Shankar Mukherjee and Anr. Vs. Ravi Sethia and Ors. – NCLAT New Delhi

[Read more...](#)

May 29, 2025

Operational Creditor has no right to file restoring application u/s 9 of IBC in the event of non-compliance of settlement agreement – Shakti International LLP Vs. Amoda Iron & Steel Ltd. – NCLT Amaravati Bench

[Read more...](#)

May 28, 2025

When the petition is filed under Section 241-242 of Companies Act, 2013, pleadings which are submitted are record of the Court and no amendment or tinkering in pleadings filed by the parties can be allowed without leave of the Court – Deloitte Haskins and Sells LLP Vs. Union of India and Ors. – NCLAT New Delhi

[Read more...](#)

May 28, 2025

The power of judicial review in Section 31 of the IBC is not akin to the power of a supervision jurisdiction to deal with the merits of the decision of any lower judicial authority – Hari Vitthal Mission Vs. Ravi Sethia and Ors. – NCLAT New Delhi

[Read more...](#)

[Access All Cases](#)

[Print Journal](#)